#### School Board of Broward County, FL Redistricting Committee Meeting Attendance 2011-2012

Redistricting Committee Members, Staff, and Community Guests	1/4/2012 kickoff #1 North Area Office 2:00 pm	1/11/2012 kickoff #2 North Area Office 6:00 pm	2/9/2012 kickoff #3 KCW Bldg 6:00 pm	2/22/2012 Public Orientation #1 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	3/29/2012 Public Orientation #2 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	4/19/2012 District 1 McArthur HS 6:00 pm	5/9/2012 District 2 Pembroke Pines Senior Center 6:00 pm	5/17/2012 District 3 City of Ft. Lauderdale Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	5/22/2012 SBW #2 10:00 am Not Required to Attend	6/4/2012 District 4 Coral Springs HS 6:00 pm	6/21/2012 District 5 City of Lauderdale Lakes Ed. Center 6:00 pm	7/11/2012 District 6 Western HS 6:00 pm	7/25/2012 District 7 City of Deerfield Beach Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	New School Board Members 11/2012	SBW #3 12/2012 Not Required to Attend
					1	Ann Murray - D	istrict 1								
Kristine Judeikis	Ab	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Ab	Ab	Ab				
Russell Chard	х	х	х	х	Ab	х	Ab	х	Ab	х	х				
					Р	atricia Good - I	District 2								
Marilyn Soltanipour	х	x	х	х	х	x	х	Ab	Ab	х	х				
Barbara Jones	Ab	х	х	х	х	х	х	Ab	Ab	х	x				
					Mau	ireen S. Dinnen	- District 3								
Paul Eichner	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Ab	х	x				
Heather Cunniff	х	х	х	х	х	Ab	х	x	Ab	х	x				
					D	onna P. Korn -	District 4								
Latha Krishnaiyer	х	х	х	Ab	х	х	х	х	х	х	Ab				
Mandy Wells	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х				
	-					amin J. William	s - District 5								
Roland Foulkes	х	x	х	х	х	х	х	x	Ab	x	х				
Roosevelt Walters	x	х	х	х	x	x	x	х	Ab	х	х				
						e Rich-Levinso		1		1					
Philip Busey	x	x	х	х	x	x	х	x	Ab	x	х				
Barry Butin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	- r			n		Nora Rupert - D									
Sheila Rose	x	х	х	х	Ab	х	Ab	х	Ab	-	х				
Ron Aronson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х				
	- r			r.	Katherine M. Le										
Mary C. Fertig	x	x	х	х	х	x	х	х	Ab	Ab					
Michael De Gruccio	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	х	х				
	- r				Robin Bartlem										
Alan Ehrlich	x	x	х	Ab	x	х	Ab	x	Ab	x	х				
Marsha Ellison	x	x	Х	Ab	x	x	x	х	Ab	x	х				
		1				intendent Robe		1	1	1	1	, ,			
Michael Rajner, Chair	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	х	х	х	х				

Any appointee who has three consecutive absences or misses four meetings in one calendar year shall be automatically removed by the appointed School Board Member. The automatic removal shall cause a vacancy to exist. In the event an appointee is automatically removed, the appointing School Board Member may reappoint the appointee when extenuating circumstances exist as determined by the appointing School Board Member.

#### School Board of Broward County, FL Redistricting Committee Meeting Attendance 2011-2012

Redistricting Committee Members, Staff, and Community Guests	1/4/2012 kickoff #1 North Area Office 2:00 pm	1/11/2012 kickoff #2 North Area Office 6:00 pm	2/9/2012 kickoff #3 KCW Bldg 6:00 pm	2/22/2012 Public Orientation #1 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	3/29/2012 Public Orientation #2 KCW Bldg. 6:00 pm	4/19/2012 District 1 McArthur HS 6:00 pm	5/9/2012 District 2 Pembroke Pines Senior Center 6:00 pm	5/17/2012 District 3 City of Ft. Lauderdale Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	5/22/2012 SBW #2 10:00 am Not Required to Attend	6/4/2012 District 4 Coral Springs HS 6:00 pm	6/21/2012 District 5 City of Lauderdale Lakes Ed. Center 6:00 pm	7/11/2012 District 6 Western HS 6:00 pm	7/25/2012 District 7 City of Deerfield Beach Comm. Chambers 6:00 pm	New School Board Members 11/2012	SBW #3 12/2012 Not Required to Attend
					District Staff 8	k Guests - Atte	ndance Not Re	quired							
Dr. Joanne Harrison															
Deputy Superintendent, Educ. Programs &															
Student Support				х											
Leslie Brown															
Executive Director, Educational Programs	х	x	x	х		x									
Jill Young															
Director, School Boundaries	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	х	х	x	х				
Patrick Sipple															
Demographer Specialist, School Boundaries	x		x	х	x	x	x	х	х	x	х				
Charles Webster or Nadine Drew															
Coordinator, Public Relations & Govt. Affairs	x	x	x	х	x		x	х	х	x	х				
J. Paul Carland															
General Cousel		x													
		•	•	•	School Board N	Nembers - Atte	ndance Not Re	quired			•				
Katherine M. Leach				х											
Robin Bartleman															
Ann Murray															
Patricia Good															
Maureen Dinnen															
Donna P. Korn															
Benjamin J. Williams															
Laurie Rich-Levinson															
Nora Rupert															

nittee meetings

#### Single Board Member Redistricting Steering Public Hearing District 6 Wednesday, July 11, 2012 Start Time: 6:00 p.m. Location: Western High School Auditorium 1200 Southwest 136th Avenue, Davie, FL 33325 Michael Rajner, Chair Marsha Ellison, Vice Chair

#### Agenda

1. Call to order														
2. Pledge of Allegiance														
3. Roll Call (attachment 3) Pages 1-2														
4. Approval of July 11, 2012 Public H	earing District 6 Agenda Page 3													
	blic Hearing District 5 Minutes (attachment 5) Pages 5-9													
	have been reviewed by District staff to have all single School Board age district population per the rules established by the Redistricting													
6. Public Hearing														
6.1 Overview of Redistricting Pro	cess and Guiding Principles													
6.2 Presentation of Newly Submit														
	presented by Ron Aronson (attachment 6.2) Pages 11-15													
6.3 Public Comment on Newly Su														
each to provide comment. Public co	<ul> <li>Note: After the presentation of each new map alternative, members of the public will have up to 2 minutes each to provide comment. Public comment will be limited to that map alternative only.</li> <li>6.4 Public Comment on Previously Presented Maps <ul> <li>Map Alternative 1* - presented by City of North Lauderdale Commissioner, Jerry</li> </ul> </li> </ul>													
	6.4 Public Comment on Previously Presented Maps													
<b>1</b>	<ul> <li>Map Alternative 1* - presented by City of North Lauderdale Commissioner, Jerry Graziose on May 9, 2012 (attachment 6.4) Pages 17-21</li> </ul>													
Map Alternative 2* and June 4, 2012 (attachme	d Map Alternative 3* - presented by Roland Foulkes on ent 6.4) Pages 23-33													
• Map Alternative 4* - p	resented by Roosevelt Walters and Rose Waters on June													
21, 2012 (attachment 6 Man Alternative 5*														
• Map Anternative 5* - p (attachment 6.4) Pages	resented by Philip Busey on June 21, 2012													
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ve up to 2 minutes each to provide comment. Public comment will be													
limited to that map alternative only.	ve up to 2 minutes each to provide comment. I ablic comment with be													
6.5 General Public Comment on th	ne Redistricting Process													
	ve up to 2 minutes each to provide public comment on the redistricting													
process.														
7. Chair's Report														
8. Staff Follow Up														
8.1 Innovation Zone Tables (attac														
8.2 Public Comments (attachment	8.2) Pages 53-57													
8.3 Example Online Map														
	12 School Board Workshop to January 2013													
8.5 Department Name Change														
9. Unfinished Business														
10. New Business														
Adjourn														
Attachments for discussion:	6.4_Alternatives 1 through 5 Maps and Data													
3_Attendance Roster 5 June 21, 2012 Draft Public Hearing District	8.1_ Innovation Zone Tables													
J J Jule 21, 2012 Drait Public Hearing District	5 Minutes 8.2_Public Comments													

Page 3 of 57

6.2\_Alternative 6 Maps and Data

#### Single Board Member Redistricting Steering Public Hearing District 5 Monday, June 21, 2012 Start Time: 6:00 p.m. Location: City of Lauderdale Lakes Educational Center 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor 3580 West Oakland Park Boulevard, Lauderdale Lakes, FL 33311 Michael Rajner, Chair Marsha Ellison, Vice Chair

#### **Agenda Items**

1. Call to order

Chair Rajner called the meeting to order at 6:10 pm.

#### **<u>2. Pledge of Allegiance</u>**

Levoyd L. Williams, Commissioner, City of Lauderdale Lakes led the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### 3. Roll Call

District 1 – Russell Chard District 2 – Barbara Jones District 2 – Marilyn Soltanipour District 3 – Paul Eichner District 3 – Heather Cunniff District 4 – Mandy Wells District 5 – Roland Foulkes District 5 – Roosevelt Walters District 6 – Philip Busey District 7 – Sheila Rose District 7 – Ron Aronson County Wide, At-Large 8 - Marsha Ellison - Vice Chair County Wide, At-Large 8 - Alan Ehrlich County Wide, At-Large 9 – Michael De Gruccio County Wide, At-Large 9 – Mary C. Fertig Superintendent - Michael Rajner- Chair

The following committee members were absent from the meeting:

District 1 – Kristine Judeikis

District 4 – Latha Krishnaiyer

#### 4. Approval of June 21, 2012 Public Hearing District 5 Agenda

The agenda was adopted as amended by unanimous consent.

#### 5. Approval of June 4, 2012 Draft Public Hearing District 4 Minutes

**Chair Rajner** asked the committee if they had any corrections to the minutes submitted by the committee members. They did not have any corrections. The June 4<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes were approved by the committee.

#### 6. Public Hearing

#### 6.1 Overview of Redistricting Process and Guiding Principles

Mr. Foulkes presented an overview of the Redistricting Process and Guiding Principles.

#### 6.2 Presentation of Newly Submitted Map Alternatives

**Rose Waters** presented Map Alternative 4.

- "Roosevelt Walters and I are the creators of Alternative Map 4. We looked at communities of interests, compactness, and Innovation Zones."
- Alternative 4 districts are more compact than Map Alternatives 1, 2, 3 and the current map.
- *Map Alternative 4 doesn't reach out to grab a group of people in order to exclude or include a group of people.*
- *Map Alternative 4 has very few jagged edges, and creates a more compact District 7 than what is found in Map Alternative 1.*
- *Map Alternative 4 follows areas of community interest better than Map Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.*
- District 5 was made more compact than what is found on Map Alternative 1.
- District 3 was made more compact than what is found on the current map.
- "Just because a map alternative was drawn within the above/below 5% does not mean the map was drawn with regards to communities of interest. It is not a consideration, but actually a law. Maps 2 and 3 completely disregard civil rights requirements."
- "Map Alternative 4 adheres to civil rights requirements by being compact."
- "It was almost impossible to place I-Zones into nice neat blocks. We did consider them and tried to include them entirely into one district when possible. When we did have to split them between zones, we kept in mind fairness of representation."
- Map Alternative 4 has 17 municipalities in one district, 11 in two districts, and 3 municipalities in three districts. The three municipalities are Fort Lauderdale, Plantation and North Lauderdale.
- "We did not simply move numbers around in Map Alternative 4. Rather, we sought to create equal opportunity and fair representation for each district."
- *Map Alternative 4 distributes the number of schools between each district more evenly than on the previous map alternatives.*
- *Map Alternative 4 is fair for all potential candidates.*

#### 6.3 Public Comment on Newly Submitted Map Alternatives

**Levoyd Williams, Commissioner, City of Lauderdale Lakes** – Map Alternative 4 cuts out both Ely High School and Dillard High School and their zones. The racial ratios are lowered with 47% black, 29% white – what is the rationale? The best compromise is Map Alternative 5. Despite losing Ely High School, the racial ratios are better.

**Jerry Graziose, Commissioner, City of North Lauderdale** – Map Alternative 4 presents us with the same situation for our small city. We will be faced with people in our city voting for Board members that do not represent our schools. One objective in Map Alternative 1 is we ensured that people voted for Board members that represented their schools. Another point is the

population difference is 4.92%, almost 5%. Map Alternative 4 does not meet all the requirements according to the Redistricting Guidelines. We will not approve this map submission because it splits our city again.

**Mr. DeGruccio** – Pointed out that there are at least 13 municipalities and 11 I-Zones with at least 2 or more School Board member splits.

**Ms.** Rose – What is the listed Board member's responsibility as related to charter school representation?

**Chair Rajner** – None, we are not looking at charter schools as an aspect of the Redistricting process.

**Ms. Rose** – Is there an advocacy role?

Chair Rajner – We will have a previous Board member to properly answer this question.

**Commissioner Graziose** – When charter schools are approved, the item, location, and type go before the Board as a body. The Board collectively votes, but the individual Board member in that district has no responsibility.

**Patrick Sipple** – The table counts the charter schools which are physically located in that district. Please keep in mind that charter schools will open and close between now and the time redistricting process is due. These numbers will fluctuate.

**Mr. Chard** – I am big advocate of compactness and like the approach of Map Alternative 4. I am concerned with the couple of zones that are split between 2 and 3 districts. I've toyed around with this and realize how impossible this feat is to do. I am not 100% sure, but I acknowledge the effort put forth.

**Vice-Chair Ellison** – I also commend the effort. I know from experience as well, as this being my area of my residence, that this could be good and/or bad.

Mr. Foulkes feels that the Board member should represent a cross section of the community.

**Ms. Wells** asked what their thought process was. **Mr. Walters** replied, "1. Having each city represented by one Board member was impossible; 2. Aligning with I-Zones is simply a wish list; and 3. We went by the Law." He further stated that although it would be nice to have Dillard High School and Ely High School in one district, it was not possible.

**Mr. Eichner** – You won't match everything. People have to recognize that the School District is countywide. I-Zones are for people with kids. Parents should vote for/within a zone and not be split. Board member districts are for voting and the kids. Redistricting should focus more on the I-Zones and not the cities.

**Ms. Fertig** – I would like to see a column or table that illustrates the number of I-Zones split by a Board member district.

**Ms. Rose** asked staff if the I-Zones can change. **Jill Young** replied that they can change as they are the same as the high school boundaries.

Mr. Busey presented Map Alternative 5.

- "I started by trying to make very compact districts. Then I realized that the school count was way off. So, I looked at the cities and found that this too was very difficult when trying to maintain compactness. It was hard to try and not split the larger cities."
- *Map Alternative 5 places a lot of emphasis on trying to align with Innovation Zones.*
- "Map Alternative 5 also protects minority rights. I tried not to diminish minority representation. To me, any fair plan would have at least one 50% plus African American population as we have now."
- "As much as I tried, I couldn't come up with two majority minority districts."
- The black population in district 5 is over 50%.
- "My goal was to have a maximum population deviation of around 1.5%."
- He stated that he was able to balance the number of high schools, I-Zones, between the districts, however, there were three bad splits. The bad I-Zone splits were Miramar, Stranahan, and Coconut Creek.
- "Despite my efforts to keep the City of North Lauderdale together, I feel that this is my best effort in taking account compactness and Innovation Zones."

**Levoyd Williams, Commissioner, City of Lauderdale Lakes** stated that he liked Map Alternative 5 and not Map Alternatives 2 and 3. He felt that the best maps to preserve communities of interest are Map Alternatives 1, 4, and 5 with Map Alternative 5 being the best.

**Gloria Lewis, Commissioner, City of Lauderdale Lakes** stated that their elementary schools feed into Dillard High School and that the I-Zone should be in one district. She felt that Map Alternative 5 was a good map.

**Jerry Graziose, Commissioner, City of North Lauderdale** felt that Map Alternatives 4 and 5 are unacceptable because they split the City of North Lauderdale.

**Gwen Benton** liked Map Alternative 5.

**Ms. Fertig** liked the way Map Alternative 5 kept the I-Zones together. She stated that she would like to see a version of Map Alternative 5 attempt to place all of the City of North Lauderdale into one district.

**Mr. Eichner** made the comment that if a city is represented by more than one School Board member, then they would have more of an opportunity to get what they wanted.

**Mr. Foulkes** felt that Map Alternatives 4 and 5 had gerrymandered districts and that the districts should cover an area of the county from east to west.

**Mr. Chard** didn't like the shapes of Districts 3, 5, and 6 in Map Alternative 5, but did like that it tried to follow I-Zones.

**Ms. Rose** liked Map Alternative 5. She also stated that the map alternatives should try and incorporate small cities into one district.

**Chair Rajner** stated that there is a need to protect minority rights and that the committee should look at what percent would preserve that. He suggested that this may be a question to ask District Legal Counsel.

**Mr. Walters** asked the question, "What determines a minority?" **Mr. Busey** stated that the Voting Rights Act looks to protect a race's ability to vote.

#### 6.4 Public Comment on Previously Presented Maps

There was no public comment on previously presented map alternatives.

#### 6.5 General Public Comment on the Redistricting Process

There was no public comment on the Redistricting Process.

#### 7. Chair's Report

Chair Rajner had nothing to report.

#### 8. Staff Follow Up

There were no staff follow up items.

#### 9. Unfinished Business

There were no unfinished business items and none added to the agenda.

#### **10. New Business**

**Jill Young** presented a calendar with available dates in August, September, and October for future committee meetings. The following dates were approved by the committee:

- August 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup>
- September 12<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup>
- October  $11^{\text{th}}$  and  $24^{\text{th}}$

Staff will try and schedule all future meetings to be held in the Kathleen C. Wright Administration Building.


**Chair Rajner** asked staff to extend the mapping assistance due date to July 11<sup>th</sup>. Staff agreed.

#### Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 pm.


## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 6

6.2




Page 11 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 6 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 6 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Page 13 of 57

## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 6

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·					Current D	iversity											·
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

								Alt	ernative 6	Diversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	241,175	-8,549	-3.42%	77,049	31.95%	164,126	68.05%	100,463	41.66%	52,175	21.63%	910	0.38%	5,698	2.36%	148	0.06%	895	0.37%	3,837	1.59%
2	255,740	6,016	2.41%	103,266	40.38%	152,474	59.62%	61,484	24.04%	71,080	27.79%	394	0.15%	13,219	5.17%	101	0.04%	1,417	0.55%	4,779	1.87%
3	257,410	7,686	3.08%	49,712	19.31%	207,698	80.69%	166,660	64.74%	29,642	11.52%	484	0.19%	6,289	2.44%	124	0.05%	868	0.34%	3,631	1.41%
4	242,391	-7,333	-2.94%	55,624	22.95%	186,767	77.05%	114,759	47.34%	55,588	22.93%	316	0.13%	10,071	4.15%	100	0.04%	1,325	0.55%	4,608	1.90%
5	256,464	6,740	2.70%	30,895	12.05%	225,569	87.95%	55,701	21.72%	160,207	62.47%	490	0.19%	3,489	1.36%	84	0.03%	914	0.36%	4,684	1.83%
6	5 251,772	2,048	0.82%	77,572	30.81%	174,200	69.19%	123,634	49.11%	33,764	13.41%	431	0.17%	10,861	4.31%	124	0.05%	1,121	0.45%	4,265	1.69%
7	243,114	-6,610	-2.65%	44,129	18.15%	198,985	81.85%	138,116	56.81%	47,221	19.42%	369	0.15%	6,065	2.49%	81	0.03%	2,612	1.07%	4,521	1.86%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

## 6.2

## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 6


#### **Current Districts**

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

#### Alternative 6

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	59	22	8	8	1	5	15
D2	49	18	5	4	0	3	19
D3	39	17	5	3	0	6	8
D4	51	21	7	4	0	0	19
D5	54	27	6	5	0	5	11
D6	33	21	6	4	0	0	2
D7	34	15	5	5	0	4	5

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS 6.4 SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING 6.4



Data sources: 2010 U.S. Census, Broward County GIS Division

Created by: City of North Lauderdale Commissioner Jerry Graziose and Andrew Disbury

Date: 4/9/2012

<sup>bury</sup> At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

**D7- Nora Rupert** 


lic sch

Page 17 of 57


D3- Maureen S. Dinnen

D4- Donna P. Korn

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 1 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



## **BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS** SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING **ALTERNATIVE 1 WITH INNOVATION ZONES**



Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.

Page 19 of 57

## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 1

									Current D	iversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		9⁄0	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	-	Hispanic		Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other		Racial
1	247,936	-1,788		76,001		171,935	69.35%	112,774		47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%		
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		171,935		•		-	27.74%	479		-		131					
2	295,413	45,689		114,617	38.80%		61.20%	76,668		81,944			0.16%	14,388			0.04%	1,602	0.54%		
3	234,685	-15,039		47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137		24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169		123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

								Alt	ternative 1	Diversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average		, .	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other		Racial
1	243,775	-5,949	0	78,432	32.17%	165,343	67.83%	106,131	43.54%	48,189	19.77%	910	0.37%	5,447	2.23%	140	0.06%	892	0.37%	3,634	
2	254,434	4,710	1.89%	99,741	39.20%	154,693	60.80%	59,230	23.28%	75,912	29.84%	373	0.15%	12,774	5.02%	96	0.04%	1,417	0.56%	4,891	1.92%
3	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	49,591	20.24%	195,378	79.76%	133,808	54.62%	51,467	21.01%	522	0.21%	5,100	2.08%	124	0.05%	780	0.32%	3,577	1.46%
4	252,501	2,777	1.11%	54,431	21.56%	198,070	78.44%	128,927	51.06%	51,604	20.44%	349	0.14%	10,860	4.30%	103	0.04%	1,380	0.55%	4,847	1.92%
5	249,948	224	0.09%	33,516	13.41%	216,432	86.59%	69,343	27.74%	136,427	54.58%	471	0.19%	4,727	1.89%	109	0.04%	919	0.37%	4,436	1.77%
6	246,633	-3,091	-1.24%	76,779	31.13%	169,854	68.87%	126,959	51.48%	26,128	10.59%	390	0.16%	11,047	4.48%	106	0.04%	1,098	0.45%	4,126	1.67%
7	255,806	6,082	2.44%	45,757	17.89%	210,049	82.11%	136,419	53.33%	59,950	23.44%	379	0.15%	5,737	2.24%	84	0.03%	2,666	1.04%	4,814	1.88%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				



## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 1



#### **Current Districts**


	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

#### Alternative 1

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	47	19	6	4	1	7	10
D2	50	18	6	4	0	1	21
D3	54	25	5	7	0	6	11
D4	45	19	7	4	0	0	15
D5	47	20	7	5	0	4	11
D6	32	21	6	4	0	0	1
D7	44	19	5	5	0	5	10


## **BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS** SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING **ALTERNATIVE 2**

64




Page 23 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 2 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Page 24 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 2 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Page 25 of 57

## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 2

									Current D	iversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

			_					Alt	ernative 2	Diversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
-	1 256,903	7,179	2.87%	87,794	34.17%	169,109	65.83%	62,264	24.24%	91,114	35.47%	408	0.16%	9,293	3.62%	100	0.04%	1,265	0.49%	4,665	1.82%
	2 239,383	-10,341	-4.14%	89,728	37.48%	149,655	62.52%	101,516	42.41%	32,923	13.75%	861	0.36%	9,273	3.87%	136	0.06%	1,033	0.43%	3,913	1.63%
	3 250,329	605	0.24%	81,529	32.57%	168,800	67.43%	136,403	54.49%	17,518	7.00%	493	0.20%	9,719	3.88%	116	0.05%	819	0.33%	3,732	1.49%
4	4 250,743	1,019	0.41%	33,679	13.43%	217,064	86.57%	99,615	39.73%	106,351	42.41%	467	0.19%	5,384	2.15%	88	0.04%	933	0.37%	4,226	1.69%
4	5 255,680	5,956	2.39%	49,832	19.49%	205,848	80.51%	101,167	39.57%	91,559	35.81%	449	0.18%	6,454	2.52%	145	0.06%	1,275	0.50%	4,799	1.88%
(	5 241,282	-8,442	-3.38%	47,349	19.62%	193,933	80.38%	118,746	49.21%	63,604	26.36%	365	0.15%	5,864	2.43%	85	0.04%	1,313	0.54%	3,956	1.64%
	7 253,746	4,022	1.61%	48,336	19.05%	205,410	80.95%	141,106	55.61%	46,608	18.37%	351	0.14%	9,705	3.82%	92	0.04%	2,514	0.99%	5,034	1.98%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				



## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 2



#### **Current Districts**

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4


#### Alternative 2

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	48	19	5	3	0	6	15
D2	52	19	7	5	1	2	18
D3	50	22	8	8	0	5	7
D4	46	25	6	4	0	3	8
D5	39	18	5	3	0	0	13
D6	43	17	5	5	0	6	10
D7	41	21	6	5	0	1	8

2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING

6.4


**ALTERNATIVE 3** 



Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district.


Page 29 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 3 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Page 30 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 3 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Page 31 of 57

## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 3

								(	Current D	iversity											
		Total # Above or Below	% Above or Below													Hawaiian	% Hawaiian				
School	2010	District	District				%						%			or	or			1	%
Board	Total	Population	Population		%	Not	Not		%		%	American	American		%	Pacific	Pacific		%	Multi-	Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

								Alt	ernative 3	Diversity											
School	2010	Total # Above or Below District	% Above or Below District				%				•		%		•	Hawaiian or	% Hawaiian or		0/		%
Board	Total		Population		%	Not	Not		%	<b>DI</b> 1	%	American	American		%	Pacific	Pacific	0.0	%	Multi-	Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	244,267	-5,457	-2.19%	37,066	15.17%	207,201	84.83%	166,833	68.30%	32,000	13.10%	401	0.16%	3,503	1.43%	81	0.03%	1,171	0.48%	3,212	1.31%
2	239,698	-10,026	-4.01%	50,702	21.15%	188,996	78.85%	101,718	42.44%	77,548	32.35%	470	0.20%	3,677	1.53%	115	0.05%	1,562	0.65%	3,906	1.63%
3	251,223	1,499	0.60%	46,009	18.31%	205,214	81.69%	83,455	33.22%	110,793	44.10%	445	0.18%	4,956	1.97%	95	0.04%	1,161	0.46%	4,309	1.72%
4	255,577	5,853	2.34%	61,648	24.12%	193,929	75.88%	81,447	31.87%	96,802	37.88%	864	0.34%	7,859	3.08%	162	0.06%	1,523	0.60%	5,272	2.06%
5	256,090	6,366	2.55%	69,655	27.20%	186,435	72.80%	113,061	44.15%	55,896	21.83%	494	0.19%	10,442	4.08%	136	0.05%	1,318	0.51%	5,088	1.99%
6	244,036	-5,688	-2.28%	62,467	25.60%	181,569	74.40%	120,390	49.33%	44,230	18.12%	379	0.16%	10,922	4.48%	89	0.04%	1,325	0.54%	4,234	1.73%
7	257,175	7,451	2.98%	110,700	43.04%	146,475	56.96%	93,913	36.52%	32,408	12.60%	341	0.13%	14,333	5.57%	84	0.03%	1,092	0.42%	4,304	1.67%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

# 6.4

## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 3




#### **Current Districts**

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

#### Alternative 3

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	32	18	5	3	0	2	4
D2	54	19	4	5	1	11	14
D3	51	21	7	5	0	5	13
D4	38	22	6	3	0	2	5
D5	67	25	9	11	0	3	19
D6	36	17	6	1	0	0	12
D7	41	19	5	5	0	0	12


2013 BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS 6.4 SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING 6.4



Date: 5/24/2012


At Large Board Member Robin Bartleman and Katherine M. Leach represent Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district. Page 35 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 4 WITH MUNICIPALITIES



Page 36 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 4 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 4

			<b>1</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Current Di	iversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		<sup>0</sup> /0	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average				Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	247,936			76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724					<u>.</u>															

								Alt	ernative 4	Diversity											
	2010	Total # Above or Below	% Above or Below				<b>0</b> /			Ĩ			0/			Hawaiian	% Hawaiian				
School Board	2010 Total	District Population	District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	Amorican	%		%	or Pacific	or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	-	Hispanic		Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	American Indian	American Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	70 Other		Racial
1	*	6				1	_														
1	252,538	· ·		,	31.86%	172,085	68.14%	103,454	40.97%	57,122	22.62%	929	0.37%	5,462	2.16%		0.06%	977	0.39%	3,994	
2	237,438	-12,286	-4.92%	95,300	40.14%	142,138	59.86%	57,326	24.14%	66,131	27.85%	337	0.14%	12,506	5.27%	88	0.04%	1,319	0.56%	4,431	1.87%
3	255,927	6,203	2.48%	42,605	16.65%	213,322	83.35%	127,396	49.78%	76,983	30.08%	506	0.20%	3,998	1.56%	119	0.05%	741	0.29%	3,579	1.40%
4	244,190	-5,534	-2.22%	53,432	21.88%	190,758	78.12%	120,165	49.21%	53,373	21.86%	331	0.14%	10,660	4.37%	107	0.04%	1,342	0.55%	4,780	1.96%
5	255,779	6,055	2.42%	44,543	17.41%	211,236	82.59%	76,591	29.94%	120,513	47.12%	450	0.18%	6,920	2.71%	127	0.05%	1,346	0.53%	5,289	2.07%
6	244,969	-4,755	-1.90%	77,722	31.73%	167,247	68.27%	133,483	54.49%	17,226	7.03%	433	0.18%	11,127	4.54%	103	0.04%	919	0.38%	3,956	1.61%
7	257,225	7,501	3.00%	44,192	17.18%	213,033	82.82%	142,402	55.36%	58,329	22.68%	408	0.16%	5,019	1.95%	71	0.03%	2,508	0.98%	4,296	1.67%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

## 6.4

## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 4



#### **Current Districts**


	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

#### Alternative 4

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	51	21	7	4	1	7	11
D2	46	16	5	4	0	1	20
D3	52	26	5	4	0	8	9
D4	45	20	7	4	0	0	14
D5	45	19	7	3	0	0	16
D6	41	21	7	8	0	0	5
D7	39	18	4	6	0	7	4


## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 5

6.4




Page 41 of 57

## **BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS** SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING **ALTERNATIVE 5 WITH MUNICIPALITIES**



Page 42 of 57

## BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICTING ALTERNATIVE 5 WITH INNOVATION ZONES



Broward County as a whole and do not represent a single School Board member district. Page 43 of 57

## 2010 U.S. CENSUS DIVERSITY BY BROWARD COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER DISTRICT ALTERNATIVE 5

									Current Di	iversity											
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	Average	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other	Racial	Racial
1	247,936	-1,788	-0.72%	76,001	30.65%	171,935	69.35%	112,774	45.49%	47,873	19.31%	929	0.37%	5,671	2.29%	151	0.06%	869	0.35%	3,668	1.48%
2	295,413	45,689	18.30%	114,617	38.80%	180,796	61.20%	76,668	25.95%	81,944	27.74%	479	0.16%	14,388	4.87%	112	0.04%	1,602	0.54%	5,603	1.90%
3	234,685	-15,039	-6.02%	47,551	20.26%	187,134	79.74%	151,137	64.40%	24,972	10.64%	440	0.19%	6,169	2.63%	123	0.05%	825	0.35%	3,468	1.48%
4	251,257	1,533	0.61%	58,084	23.12%	193,173	76.88%	129,361	51.49%	46,687	18.58%	339	0.13%	10,710	4.26%	105	0.04%	1,332	0.53%	4,639	1.85%
5	229,436	-20,288	-8.12%	24,657	10.75%	204,779	89.25%	38,042	16.58%	158,033	68.88%	432	0.19%	3,096	1.35%	70	0.03%	866	0.38%	4,240	1.85%
6	256,153	6,429	2.57%	76,475	29.86%	179,678	70.14%	115,502	45.09%	47,044	18.37%	430	0.17%	10,792	4.21%	134	0.05%	1,197	0.47%	4,579	1.79%
7	233,186	-16,538	-6.62%	40,862	17.52%	192,324	82.48%	137,333	58.89%	43,124	18.49%	345	0.15%	4,866	2.09%	67	0.03%	2,461	1.06%	4,128	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

								Alt	ernative 5	Diversity				<u> </u>							
School Board	2010 Total	Total # Above or Below District Population	% Above or Below District Population		%	Not	% Not		%		%	American	% American		%	Hawaiian or Pacific	% Hawaiian or Pacific		%	Multi-	% Multi-
District	Population	Average	-	Hispanic		Hispanic	Hispanic	White	White	Black	Black	Indian	Indian	Asian	Asian	Islander	Islander	Other	Other		Racial
1	249,791	67	0.03%	77,826	31.16%	171,965	68.84%	89,577	35.86%	71,112	28.47%	499	0.20%	5,363	2.15%	144	0.06%	1,056	0.42%	4,214	1.69%
2	248,416	-1,308	-0.52%	98,626	39.70%	149,790	60.30%	79,583	32.04%	50,071	20.16%	372	0.15%	13,929	5.61%	78	0.03%	1,298	0.52%	4,459	1.79%
3	250,236	512	0.21%	49,747	19.88%	200,489	80.12%	154,076	61.57%	35,805	14.31%	939	0.38%	5,453	2.18%	134	0.05%	740	0.30%	3,342	1.34%
4	247,690	-2,034	-0.81%	55,563	22.43%	192,127	77.57%	125,110	50.51%	49,879	20.14%	334	0.13%	10,608	4.28%	102	0.04%	1,349	0.54%	4,745	1.92%
5	251,044	1,320	0.53%	35,758	14.24%	215,286	85.76%	62,431	24.87%	142,340	56.70%	485	0.19%	4,278	1.70%	99	0.04%	942	0.38%	4,711	1.88%
6	5 253,081	3,357	1.34%	77,122	30.47%	175,959	69.53%	123,201	48.68%	35,850	14.17%	388	0.15%	10,739	4.24%	121	0.05%	1,196	0.47%	4,464	1.76%
7	247,808	-1,916	-0.77%	43,605	17.60%	204,203	82.40%	126,839	51.18%	64,620	26.08%	377	0.15%	5,322	2.15%	84	0.03%	2,571	1.04%	4,390	1.77%
Total	1,748,066			438,247	25.07%	1,309,819	74.93%	760,817	43.52%	449,677	25.72%	3,394	0.19%	55,692	3.19%	762	0.04%	9,152	0.52%	30,325	1.73%
Average	249,724																				

# 6.4

## Number of Schools by Proposed District Alternative 5



#### **Current Districts**

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	55	22	8	8	1	5	11
D2	58	22	7	5	0	3	21
D3	38	16	5	4	0	5	8
D4	48	21	7	4	0	0	16
D5	55	26	6	4	0	5	14
D6	32	19	5	3	0	0	5
D7	33	15	4	5	0	5	4

#### Alternative 5

	Total						
District	Schools	Elementary	Middle	High	Combination	Center	Charter
D1	47	19	5	4	1	6	12
D2	46	18	6	4	0	0	18
D3	53	24	6	7	0	6	10
D4	50	22	8	4	0	0	16
D5	46	21	7	4	0	4	10
D6	35	20	6	4	0	0	5
D7	42	17	4	6	0	7	8

	Innovation Zone	Ν	lumber of S	plits by Sin	gle School I	Board Mem	ber District	:s	Total Number of
	innovation zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
1	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
3	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1		1	3
5	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
6	COOPER CITY HIGH			1			1		2
7	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
8	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH					1		1	2
9	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1					2
10	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
11	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
12	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

		IVIU	PAILEINAL				
Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1 CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2 CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3 CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4 DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5 DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6 EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7 FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8 FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
9 HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
10 MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
11 MIRAMAR HIGH		1					
12 MONARCH HIGH							1
13 STRANAHAN HIGH			1				
14 TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
15 WESTERN HIGH						1	

Innevation Zone	N	umber of S	plits by Sin	gle School E	Board Mem	ber Districts	5	Total Number of
Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
ELY, BLANCHE HIGH					1	1	1	. 3
FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1	1	1			3
SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1	1	1					3
ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH				1	1			2
COCONUT CREEK HIGH					1	1		2
COOPER CITY HIGH		1	1					2
CORAL GLADES HIGH						1	1	. 2
CORAL SPRINGS HIGH						1	1	. 2
DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH						1	1	. 2
EVERGLADES HIGH	1	1						2
FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH	1	1						2
HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH		1	1					2
MCARTHUR HIGH	1	1						2
MONARCH HIGH						1	1	. 2
NORTHEAST HIGH				1	1			2
PIPER HIGH				1	1			2
PLANTATION HIGH				1	1			2
SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1	1				2
STRANAHAN HIGH			1	1				2
WEST BROWARD HIGH		1	1					2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

			Ivia	P Allemali	VC 2			
	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CYPRESS BAY HIGH			1				
2	DILLARD HIGH				1			
3	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH							1
4	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
5	MIRAMAR HIGH	1						
6	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH						1	
7	WESTERN HIGH			1				

	1
•	

		Γ	lumber of S	plits by Sin	gle School I	Board Mem	ber District	S	Total Number of
	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
1	STRANAHAN HIGH	1	1	1	1	1			5
2	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH		1	1	1	1			4
3	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
4	PLANTATION HIGH				1	1	1	1	4
5	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1	1	1	1				4
6	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1	1	1	1		4
7	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH		1	1	1				3
8	COCONUT CREEK HIGH		1	1	1				3
9	DILLARD HIGH		1	1	1				3
10	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1	1	1		3
11	HALLANDALE HIGH	1	1	1					3
12	MIRAMAR HIGH				1	1	1		3
13	MONARCH HIGH		1	1	1				3
14	WESTERN HIGH					1	1	1	3
15	COOPER CITY HIGH					1	1		2
16	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1	1			2
17	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH	1	1						2
18	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH	1	1						2
19	EVERGLADES HIGH						1	1	2
20	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH						1	1	2
21	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH	1	1						2
22	MCARTHUR HIGH				1	1			2
23	NORTHEAST HIGH	1	1						2
24	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH					1	1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

#### Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District

Map Alternative 3

	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH						1	
2	CYPRESS BAY HIGH							1
3	WEST BROWARD HIGH							1

	Innovation Zone	N	lumber of S	plits by Sin	gle School E	Board Mem	ber District	S	Total Number of
	innovation zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1		1	4
2	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1			1		3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1		1		1	3
5	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1	1		3
6	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
7	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
8	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
9	PIPER HIGH					1	1		2
10	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
11	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
12	STRANAHAN HIGH			1			1		2
13	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

#### Innovation Zones Completely Within a School Board Member District

			Iviap	Alternativ	e 4			
	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	COOPER CITY HIGH						1	
2	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
3	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
4	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
5	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
6	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
7	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
8	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
9	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
10	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
11	MCARTHUR HIGH	1						
12	MONARCH HIGH							1
13	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
14	WESTERN HIGH						1	

Map Alternative 4

	Innovation Zone	Ν	lumber of S	plits by Sin	gle School I	Board Mem	ber District	s	Total Number of
	innovation zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
1	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1		1		1	3
2	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1	1		1	3
3	COOPER CITY HIGH		1	1			1		3
4	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
5	DILLARD HIGH			1		1			2
6	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1				1	2
7	MCARTHUR HIGH	1		1					2
8	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
9	PLANTATION HIGH					1	1		2
10	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
11	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH			1		1			2
12	STRANAHAN HIGH			1		1			2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

		IVIU	P Allemali				
Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1 CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2 CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			
3 CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
4 DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
5 DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6 EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7 FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8 FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1				
9 HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
10 HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH			1				
11 MONARCH HIGH							1
12 NORTHEAST HIGH			1				
13 TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
14 WEST BROWARD HIGH		1					
15 WESTERN HIGH						1	

	Innovation Zono	Ν	lumber of S	Splits by Sin	gle School I	Board Mem	ber District	s	Total Number of
	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Splits
1	SOUTH PLANTATION HIGH	1		1		1	1		4
2	ANDERSON, BOYD HIGH			1	1	1			3
3	ELY, BLANCHE HIGH			1		1		1	3
4	NORTHEAST HIGH			1	1	1			3
5	PIPER HIGH				1	1	1		3
6	STRANAHAN HIGH	1		1		1			3
7	COCONUT CREEK HIGH				1			1	2
8	COOPER CITY HIGH	1					1		2
9	CORAL SPRINGS HIGH				1			1	2
10	FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH			1		1			2
11	HOLLYWOOD HILLS HIGH	1		1					2
12	MCARTHUR HIGH	1	1						2
13	MIRAMAR HIGH	1	1						2
14	PLANTATION HIGH			1			1		2
15	SOUTH BROWARD HIGH	1		1					2
16	WEST BROWARD HIGH		1				1		2

Number of splits determined by where a School Board member district overlaps an Innovation Zone.

				Alternati				
	Innovation Zone	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7
1	CORAL GLADES HIGH				1			
2	CYPRESS BAY HIGH						1	
3	DEERFIELD BEACH HIGH							1
4	DILLARD HIGH					1		
5	DOUGLAS, MARJORY STONEMAN HIGH				1			
6	EVERGLADES HIGH		1					
7	FLANAGAN, CHARLES W. HIGH		1					
8	HALLANDALE HIGH	1						
9	MONARCH HIGH							1
10	TARAVELLA, J.P. HIGH				1			
11	WESTERN HIGH						1	

Source	Timestamp	Name	City, State, Zip	Commenting On	Comment
email	2/23/2012 11:49:27	Jeanne Jusevic		General	Dear Jill, Michael Rajner asked me to take a look at the web site and video of the redistricting committee. While I found the graphics a little hard to read in the video, overall I found it helpful and informative. I also found the web site easy to navigate and I understood the material posted to the web site. The only thing I would add is either a tutorial on using the map maker or doing a live tutorial on the map maker in each of the three areas. Again, thank you for making this easy for the public to access and easy for the public to understand. Well done! Sincerely, Jeanne Jusevic
Web form	3/2/2012 18:16:38	William Vayens	Ft Lauderdale FL 33304	General	There appears to be a problem with your KMZ file of the current school board districts. WHen imported into DistrictBuilder (or Google Maps), it only shows 4 districts, 3 of which are outside Broward County.
email	3/19/2012 11:32:00				Good Morning: I have checked the School Board Members District Boundaries for my neighborhood and I find that our community is split between two School Board Member District Boundaries. If you reside south of McNab Road and west of State Road 7 within the City of North Lauderdale, you are in District #4 which is School Board Member Donna Korn's District. If you reside north of McNab Road along with the areas east of State Road 7 within the City of North Lauderdale, you are in District #5 which is School Board Member Benjamin William's District. Therefore, I would like to recommend that the entire City of North Lauderdale be placed within District #4. This would only impact Morrow Elementary School, Pinewood Elementary School, and Silver Lakes Middle School, all of which are in the North Area along with the other schools in District #4, while all of the other schools listed in District 5 are in the Central Area. It is a natural fit for these three schools. I will be bringing this issue up at the next North Lauderdale City Commission Meeting, which is scheduled for Wednesday, March 28, for their review and support. Please provide this to the Redistricting Steering Committee. Thanks, Jerry
		·			Jill, Good Afternoon: I went on the School Districts Redistricting Web Site and the Broward County Map that is on the left side of the page, is old. The map does not show the current city boundaries, that have been changed over the last 6 years due to annexations. Thanks, Jerry Graziose, Director, Safety & Chief Fire Official Safety Department The School Board of Broward County, Florida 754-321-4200 754-321-4287 Fax
email	3/28/2012 15:00:00	Jerry Graziose		General	

				Commenting	
Source	Timestamp	Name	City, State, Zip	On	Comment
000100	Timootamp	Nume		0.1	Worked with commissioner Jerry Graziose on completing new districting map, was honored to be part of the process. I believe the
Web form	4/9/2012 13:12:56	Andrew	Davie, FI,33314	General	meeting was a learning process for all.
				Two hour map	I found the mapping workshop to be helpful and easy to understand. I also found the assistance provided by the staff to be very
Comment card	4/16/2012 0:00:00	Jerry Graziose	North Lauderdale	meeting	knowledgable and friendly.
					When attempting to review the current map and the "Innovative" map option, I found it strange that the new "innovative" map did not have the dots showing the locations of each school.
					It would be helpful to show the before/after maps on a an 'apples to apples' basis so that you can understand why people are up in arms about living within walking distance of a school, yet are innovatively being redistricted to another school.
					I also do not see information around the reasoning behind the redistricting changes. It would be helpful to have this information listed on the website for the public to be better informed.
Web form	5/25/2012 6:39:51	Carolyn	Weston, FL 33331	Alternative 1	Thank you.
WCD IOIIII	5/25/2012 0.05.01	Carolyn		Alternative 1	I do not understand why we do not remove the children attending Cooper City Schools who do not live in the area.
					I have a neighor whos granddaughter attends Cooper City Elem for 2 0r 3 years now but lives with her mother in Hollywood. I have spoken to the school about it. They know the situation but because the grandmother claims the child lives there, it is allowed. This is not a hardship case.
					The grandparents house is only 1 bock from the school. If they do not care about this child how many more are their. The representative for the school told me there were lots and asked me why I care.
Web form	5/26/2012 10:12:22	Juliann Anderson	Cooper City, FL 33328	General	Why waste the time to make the laws if you are not going to enforce them?
	0,20,2012 10112122				The Riverside Park Neighborhood in Fort Lauderdale is currently split into two districts. We as a neighborhood would prefer having the entire neighborhood in one district. Stranahan HS is an important part of our neighborhood. The Board of the Riverside Park Residents Association has asked me to make this request.
google form	6/16/2012 13:59:26	David L Sloan, 3rd	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312	General	Thank you for your consideration. THIS IS A LETTER I PUBLISHED AND I THOUGHT IT BEST DESCRIBED SOUTHWEST RANCHES FEELINGS
					Here we go again! I am referring to the school board redistricting that is occurring as we speak. Don't stop reading if you don't have school age kids because this fight belongs to all of us. We cannot sit back and continually allow the children of Southwest Ranches to pay the price of elected officials playing to the voting masses, rather than doing what is right for all of the students. As if it were not bad enough that students my son's age were re-boundaried in elementary school, middle school and then again in high school the county is now taking away our voice. Apparently school board members are bogged down by the sheer number of people in their districts and in an effort to redistribute the population more fairly between representatives, they have placed half of Southwest Ranches with the Weston representative. It can be argued that each of the members represents all of our children, but if you live in SWR, you know this is not true. It was a mere six years ago that our high schoolers were boundaried out of the school across the street, Cypress Bay. As I personally took school board members and the superintendent on tours to show them how ludacris this move was, they all stated that sometimes they have to make decisions based on the discomfort of the fewest amount of people and the benefit of the largest number of voters. Well obviously we have the smallest number of children and Weston has the largest number of voters, their message was loud and clear, the only one that sided with our town was our representative, our sole vote, our only voice. It has been a Weston area school that our children have been boundraried out of each time, so I suppose if they give us a Weston representative they will not even have to hear that sole voice or be bothered by that single vote! If you compare the number of Weston voters to the number of Southwest Ranches vote it is apparent which way our new representative will swing if she hopes to be reelected. We may be small and we may not have a ton of kids in our town but w
google form	6/22/2012 17:44:32	kathy sullivan	Southwest Ranches, fl 33331	General	school board today because our time is running out. Tell them that we want; no we demand to have our entire town represented by Patti
					It seems like Southwest Ranches should be represented by someone in their district who covers the public schools that the children in
google form	6/22/2012 20:56:03	Priscilla Prado Stroze	SW Ranches, FL 33331	General	SW Ranches attend, as opposed to having them be represented by someone from Weston, since the children from Southwest Ranches do not attend their schools.

				Commenting	
Source	Timestamp	Name	City, State, Zip	Commenting On	Comment
		Name			We want to modify alternative 1 to include all of SWR in the West Broward innovation zone. that keeps every innovation zone in district 2 intact, The map would be altered slightly. The line would go down Griffin to Flamingo. This would keep the West Broward ZONE together. I am trying to get the map included at the Western High School meeting on July 12 at 6pm. our alternative speaks to the needs of the smaller areas that do not have a school in their city but is impacted hugely by these changes. It is also important to realize that the change I am proposing does not negatively impact any other districts. This is a community driven process and we need to make our voices heard. If we are separated in this process it will serve to dilute our already small voice in issues. Please tell me what we do next and if we can have this submitted for the July 12 meeting at Western High School,, as it is the closest meeting place for our district.
google form	6/23/2012 12:49:05	Kathy Sullivan	Southwest Ranches, fl 33331	General	
				Conorol	I am on the Town Council of Southwest Ranches. It is important that we keep our town in one district, rather than splitting it between districts. As we are a small town, our voice is already diminished. Splitting our town reduces our voice even more. I wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our incomplete and the second se
google form	6/23/2012 16:24:35	Steve Breitkreuz	Southwest Ranches, FL 33332	General	innovation zone intact. We want to modify Alternative Map 1 slightly by moving the northern-most line (Griffin Road) east to Flamingo Road and then south to Sheridan Street. This would serve to include all of the Hawkes Bluff boundary and keep the West Broward innovation zone intact, thereby insuring that District 2 zones are not split. I do not believe this minor change will have a negative impact to any other district but I would appreciate your help in determining how the demographics play out and its impact to both Districts 2 and 6. I would like to present this at the next redistricting meeting which I believe is to be held at Western High School. Please advise what steps I need to take to
google form	6/23/2012 16:58:09	Michael Tromans	Southwest Ranches, fl 33331	General	insure this can be accomplished.
google form	6/25/2012 15:38:12	marie berry	SW Ranches, fl 33331	General	Since the students of Southwest Ranches are not able to attend the Weston area schools, it make better sence to be represented by patti Good, who actually has school boundaries in her area. I wish to see ALL of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would divergence for a structure of the district set of the together.
google form	6/26/2012 15:30:23	Debbie Green	Southwest Ranches, FL 33332	Alternative 1	dilute our voice. Be redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flamingo is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/26/2012 19:16:46		Southwest Ranches, FL 33330	General	Please keep all of Southwest Ranches together as we are small and need the entire town to be together and have a little voice.
google form	6/27/2012 8:13:46	Manny Hagen	Southwest Ranches, 33331	General	I would like to keep all of Southwest Ranches in the same district and not have it split up. I wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest RAnches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would
google form	6/27/2012 8:27:39	Kathryn Aaron	S.W. Ranches, FL 33331	Alternative 1	dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines to that Griffin to Flamingo is included in D2, we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact. Please adopt Alternative 5. We want to keep Southwest Ranches together, incl. Cooper city, to be a squared off zone represented by Patricia Good. It makes common sense. When the other alternatives divide across illogical lines, the public wonders if politics trumps
google form	6/27/2012 19:53:01		SOUTHWEST RANCHES, FL 3333		TO: Jill Young redistricting@browardschools.com I feel that we must Keep Patricia Good as our representive for our childrens sake. I do not feel that a representive From Weston will help us in anyway. They do NOT ALLOW OUR CHILDREN TO ENTER ANY WESTON SCHOOLS. It would not be fair to them. We must protect our children from people who do not care about them
google form	6/27/2012 20:22:28	Marion Hagen	SW Ranches, FL 33331	Alternative 2	We are a small town and I realize this does not impact our students but it would impact our representation. Please leave the town with a
google form	6/28/2012 7:19:55	Bob Macfarlane	Southwest Ranches, FL 33331	General	I wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/28/2012 13:38:01	Selena Hodgers	southwest ranches, fl 33331	General	wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/28/2012 13:39:03	Ben Hodgers	Southwest ranches, FL 33331	General	

				Commenting	
Source	Timestamp	Name	City, State, Zip	On	Comment
					wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/28/2012 13:39:10	Ben Hodgers	Southwest ranches, FL 33331	General	
					wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/28/2012 13:39:50	Jimmie O'Steen	southwest ranches, fl 33331	General	
					wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	6/28/2012 13:40:33	Richard O'Steen	southwest ranches, fl 33331	General	
					I wish to see all of West Broward zone kept together. Southwest Ranches is a small town and splitting us between two districts would dilute our voice. By redrawing the lines so that Griffin to Flaming is included in D2 we will be able to keep our innovation zone intact.
google form	7/1/2012 23:16:00	Tami Demby	SW Ranches, FL 33330	General	



## **Town of Southwest Ranches**

13400 Griffin Road Southwest Ranches, FL 33330-2628

(954) 434-0008 Town Hall (954) 434-1490 Fax Town Council Jeff Nelson, Mayor Doug McKay, Vice Mayor Steve Breitkreuz, Council Member Freddy Fisikelli, Council Member Gary Jablonski, Council Member

Andy Berns, Town Administrator Keith M. Poliakoff, Town Attorney Erika Gonzalez-Santamaria, CMC, Town Clerk Martin D. Sherwood, CPA CGFO, Town Financial Administrator

June 28, 2012

Patrick Sipple, District Demographer Broward County School Board 600 Southeast 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

#### **RE: Town of Southwest Ranches – Redistricting Impact**

Dear Mr. Sipple:

The Town Council and residents of the Town of Southwest Ranches want to thank you for your consideration regarding redistricting. As the Town Administrator of Southwest Ranches, the issue of redistricting is a concern to our residents as it also impacts our School Board representation. It is very important to the residents of our small town that our representation not be split among zones.

The Town Council, Administration and residents respectfully request the board consider that the proposal to split our Town would result in representation by two different elected school board officials. Considering that we have a common attendance boundary wherein all of the Town students are boundered to West Broward High School we feel that it would be in our best interest to have one school board member for the entire Town. We do not believe this minor change will have a negative impact to any other district.

We would appreciate your help in determining how the demographics play out and its impact to both Districts. We would like to present our position at the District 6 public hearing at Western High School on July 11, 2012. Please advise what steps I need to take to insure this can be accomplished.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew D. Berns Town Administrator

AB:cw

C: Mayor Nelson and Town Council; Robert W. Runcie, Superintendent of Schools; Broward County School Board Members; Schools Education Advisory Board (SEAB)